Israel in Modern and Biblical Perspective: Views from William Lane Craig, Alvin Plantinga, Ravi Zacharias, John Lennox, and N.T. Wright

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

September 10, 2024

The role of Israel in both biblical history and modern politics has long been a subject of deep theological reflection and debate. For Christians, the significance of Israel extends beyond its geographical borders, as it represents the people through whom God established His covenant and revealed His plan for salvation. The founding of the modern state of Israel in 1948 has reignited questions about whether this event fulfills biblical prophecy or if Israel's ultimate destiny is now realized through the global Church. This paper explores the views of five prominent Christian apologists—William Lane Craig, Alvin Plantinga, Ravi Zacharias, John Lennox, and N.T. Wright—each offering unique perspectives on how the promises made to Israel in the Bible are understood today. While some emphasize the spiritual fulfillment of God's promises in the Church, others highlight Israel's historical and moral significance without linking it directly to eschatological prophecy. This exploration provides insight into the diverse ways Christian thought approaches the relationship between Israel, prophecy, and the Church.

Keywords: Israel, Church, biblical prophecy, New Covenant, William Lane Craig, Alvin Plantinga, Ravi Zacharias, John Lennox, N.T. Wright, eschatology, Old Testament, New Testament theology, Christian apologetics, fulfillment theology.

Introduction

The founding of the modern state of Israel in 1948 stands as one of the most pivotal geopolitical events of the 20th century. Following the horrors of the Holocaust and World War II, Jewish leaders successfully established Israel as a homeland for Jews in their ancestral land, fulfilling the long-held Zionist aspiration for a Jewish state. With the United Nations' partition plan, Israel declared independence on May 14, 1948, and was promptly recognized by major world powers. However, this event also triggered immediate conflict with neighboring Arab states, leading to decades of political strife in the Middle East. The establishment of Israel created a global flashpoint due to its religious, historical, and political implications.

For Christians, Israel holds profound theological significance that goes far beyond contemporary politics. From the time of the Old Testament, Israel was regarded as the nation through which God enacted His covenant with humanity. The biblical narrative places Israel as the chosen people through whom God would bless all nations, a concept rooted in key passages like **Genesis 12:1-3**, where God promises to make Abraham's descendants a great nation. Throughout Christian history, the relationship between God and Israel has been interpreted as central to the unfolding of divine salvation history.

The establishment of the state of Israel in 1948 raised important theological questions for Christians. Some saw it as a fulfillment of biblical prophecy, while others viewed it as a political development disconnected from the theological Israel of the Bible. The question remains whether modern Israel should be seen as part of God's continued plan for the world, as foretold in scripture, or whether the promises to Israel are fulfilled in the Church under the New Covenant.

This paper will examine the views of five prominent Christian apologists on this complex issue, each offering distinct perspectives on the theological and political significance of Israel. These apologists include:

- **William Lane Craig**, a philosopher and theologian known for his defense of theism and Christian doctrine, who has addressed the relationship between Israel and the Church within the context of God's redemptive plan.

- **Alvin Plantinga**, a leading philosopher of religion, whose approach is more abstract, focusing on broader theological issues such as the problem of evil, but whose insights provide a unique lens through which to view Israel's place in divine history.
- **Ravi Zacharias**, a Christian apologist who emphasized the importance of defending faith in the face of cultural opposition, supported Israel's right to exist on moral and theological grounds.
- **John Lennox**, a mathematician and apologist, who approaches the issue of Israel with a blend of historical context and Christian theology, focusing on the nation's place in God's overarching plan but remaining cautious about eschatological interpretations.
- **N.T. Wright**, a New Testament scholar, who provides a critical view of interpreting modern Israel as a fulfillment of biblical prophecy, emphasizing instead the role of the Church as the inheritor of Israel's promises.

Through a detailed examination of their writings and speeches, this paper will explore how these Christian thinkers interpret the significance of Israel, both as a nation-state founded in 1948 and as the theological construct central to biblical history. By comparing and contrasting their views, the paper will offer a nuanced understanding of how modern Christian apologetics navigates the intersection of ancient scripture and contemporary geopolitics.

Biblical Israel: Theological Foundations

Israel occupies a central place in the biblical narrative, serving as the chosen people of God through whom His divine plan for humanity unfolds. This theological significance is deeply rooted in the concept of **covenant theology**, which is the framework by which God's relationship with humanity is understood in the Bible. In the Old Testament, Israel is portrayed as the people chosen by God to represent Him on earth, through whom He would bless all nations, reveal His law, and ultimately bring salvation to the world.

Israel's Role in Biblical History and Covenant Theology

The story of Israel begins with God's covenant with **Abraham** in **Genesis 12:1-3**, where God promises to make Abraham's descendants into a great nation. This covenant not only set the foundation for Israel's identity but also established a divine mission for the nation: to be a blessing to all the families of the earth. The Abrahamic Covenant is seen as an unbreakable promise in which God commits to both a personal relationship with Israel and the ultimate redemption of humanity through them.

In **Exodus 19:5-6**, after leading the Israelites out of slavery in Egypt, God reinforces this covenantal relationship by declaring that Israel is to be a "kingdom of priests and a holy nation." This passage highlights Israel's special role as the intermediary between God and the world. Israel is to be a priestly nation, a people set apart to embody God's laws and reflect His holiness to the surrounding nations. This covenant is often referred to as the **Mosaic Covenant**, based on the laws given to Moses, which governed Israel's religious, social, and ethical life.

However, Israel's role was not merely confined to being a model nation for others. The prophets also spoke of Israel's future restoration, especially in the context of exile and diaspora. For instance, **Isaiah 11:12** foretells the gathering of Israel's exiles from the four corners of the earth, promising a future return to the land God gave them. This prophetic vision, coupled with others, led many to view Israel's role as not only pivotal in the past but also crucial to God's plan for the future, envisioning a time when Israel would be restored to a place of prominence in the world, fulfilling God's promises.

Key Bible Verses and Covenant Promises

1. **Genesis 12:1-3** (God's Covenant with Abraham):

The LORD had said to Abram, "Go from your country, your people and your father's household to the land I will show you. I will make you into a great nation, and I will bless you; I will make your name great, and you will be a blessing. I will bless those who bless you, and whoever curses you I will curse; and all peoples on earth will be blessed through you."

This passage sets the stage for Israel's entire identity in the biblical narrative. God's covenant with Abraham is not just about creating a nation but about

blessing all of humanity through that nation. The centrality of Israel in this plan remains foundational in both Jewish and Christian theology.

2. **Exodus 19:5-6** (Israel as a Kingdom of Priests):

"Now if you obey me fully and keep my covenant, then out of all nations you will be my treasured possession. Although the whole earth is mine, you will be for me a kingdom of priests and a holy nation." These are the words you are to speak to the Israelites.

In this passage, God emphasizes Israel's unique status as His chosen people. Their holiness and dedication to God are seen as part of their identity and mission. Israel is called to be a priestly nation, mediating between God and the rest of humanity.

3. **Isaiah 11:12** (Gathering of Israel's Exiles):

He will raise a banner for the nations and gather the exiles of Israel; he will assemble the scattered people of Judah from the four quarters of the earth.

This verse reflects the prophetic hope of Israel's future restoration, a theme that would later become central in both Jewish and Christian eschatology. The idea of a scattered Israel being regathered into their homeland has fueled much theological speculation and debate about the relationship between biblical prophecy and modern Israel.

The Transition from the Old Covenant to the New Covenant

Theologically, the transition from the **Old Covenant** (established with Israel) to the **New Covenant** (established through Christ) is a central theme in Christian theology. Christians believe that through Jesus, God has fulfilled the promises made to Israel, extending salvation beyond the Jewish people to all nations. This is particularly emphasized in the writings of the Apostle Paul, who in **Romans 9-11** addresses the relationship between Israel and the Church.

Paul argues that while Israel was initially chosen by God, the promises to Israel are now fulfilled in the person of Christ. In the New Testament, the Church is often seen as the **spiritual Israel**, representing the true people of God who are united by faith in Christ rather than by ethnic or national identity. This does not mean, however, that God has abandoned Israel. Paul stresses that God has not

rejected His people but that the Church has become the means by which the promises to Israel are realized, especially through the inclusion of Gentiles in the covenant community.

In **Jeremiah 31:31-34**, the prophet speaks of a **New Covenant** that will be different from the one given at Mount Sinai. This new covenant will be written on the hearts of the people, and God will forgive their sins. Christians interpret this passage as a reference to the coming of Christ and the establishment of the New Covenant through His death and resurrection.

Theological Significance of Israel's Role in Salvation History

In Christian theology, Israel is viewed not only as a nation with a unique covenant relationship with God but also as the vessel through which God's plan for the redemption of the world would be revealed. The **Messiah** was promised to come through Israel's lineage, and through Israel's history, God's character and purposes were made known to humanity.

However, the theological significance of Israel does not stop with the nation's historical role. For many Christian thinkers, Israel represents the starting point of God's redemptive work, which reaches its fulfillment in Christ and the Church. The **New Covenant**, while fulfilling the promises made to Israel, extends those promises to all of humanity, transcending national boundaries and focusing on spiritual reconciliation with God.

Thus, the theological understanding of Israel's role in salvation history is twofold: first, as the nation through which God enacted His covenant and revealed His law, and second, as the foundation upon which the New Covenant was built, offering salvation to the entire world through faith in Christ.

The relationship between Israel and the Church remains a critical point of theological discussion, especially in the context of eschatology (end-times theology). Some Christian traditions view the establishment of modern Israel as a continuation of the promises made to biblical Israel, while others see the Church as the true heir to these promises. Understanding this transition from the Old Covenant to the New Covenant is key to navigating the complex theological debates surrounding Israel's role in both the Bible and contemporary Christian thought.

The Modern State of Israel (1948): A Political or Theological Event?

The establishment of the modern state of Israel on May 14, 1948, marked a historic moment, not only for the Jewish people but also for the global geopolitical landscape. After nearly 2,000 years of Jewish exile, persecution, and dispersion, Israel's creation as a sovereign nation was seen by many as the fulfillment of Zionist aspirations for a Jewish homeland. It followed the horrors of the Holocaust, which galvanized international support for a safe haven for Jews. While the United Nations' partition plan allowed for the creation of a Jewish state, the declaration of independence also sparked conflict with Arab nations and initiated a prolonged and complex political struggle in the Middle East.

However, for many Christians, the establishment of Israel carried profound theological implications. Some viewed it as the fulfillment of Old Testament prophecies regarding the return of the Jewish people to their land. Others, especially those from a more political or secular perspective, saw it as a geopolitical event, unrelated to any direct biblical prophecy.

Overview of the Establishment of Israel in 1948

The re-establishment of Israel was the culmination of a series of global events, including the decline of European empires, the atrocities of World War II, and the rise of Zionism, a movement advocating for the return of Jews to their historical homeland. On November 29, 1947, the United Nations General Assembly adopted **Resolution 181**, which called for the partition of Palestine into Jewish and Arab states, with Jerusalem under international administration. Following British withdrawal from the region in May 1948, David Ben-Gurion, Israel’s first prime minister, declared the independence of the State of Israel.

The immediate aftermath saw the eruption of war between the newly formed Israel and its Arab neighbors, resulting in an armistice in 1949. Israel's survival and subsequent growth, coupled with ongoing conflicts, have made it a focal point of international politics and a source of deep tension between religious, ethnic, and political groups.

Christian Perspectives on 1948: Fulfillment of Prophecy or Political Event?

The establishment of Israel in 1948 has been interpreted in various ways by Christian theologians and apologists. Broadly, their views fall into two categories:

those who see the event as a **fulfillment of biblical prophecy** and those who regard it as a **secular political development** that may not have direct theological significance.

1. **The Fulfillment of Prophecy View:** Many Christians, particularly those from **dispensationalist** or **evangelical** traditions, believe that the 1948 establishment of Israel fulfills specific biblical prophecies regarding the return of the Jewish people to their homeland. They interpret verses from books like **Ezekiel** and **Amos** as foretelling the re-establishment of Israel as a nation-state.

Ezekiel 37:21-22 is often cited in this context:

"This is what the Sovereign LORD says: I will take the Israelites out of the nations where they have gone. I will gather them from all around and bring them back into their own land. I will make them one nation in the land, on the mountains of Israel. There will be one king over all of them and they will never again be two nations or be divided into two kingdoms."

For proponents of this view, the verse speaks to the re-gathering of Jews from the diaspora, which was realized in 1948 when Jews from across Europe, the Middle East, and Africa began returning to their ancestral land. The unification of Israel as one nation is seen as a key moment in God's prophetic timeline, preparing the world for the end times.

Amos 9:14-15 also supports this interpretation:

"I will bring my people Israel back from exile; they will rebuild the ruined cities and live in them. They will plant vineyards and drink their wine; they will make gardens and eat their fruit. I will plant Israel in their own land, never again to be uprooted from the land I have given them," says the LORD your God.

This passage suggests a permanent restoration of Israel, free from the threat of exile, which some Christian apologists argue was fulfilled in the 1948 founding of Israel. They view modern Israel's continued survival and growth, despite numerous conflicts, as a sign that these prophecies are in the process of fulfillment.

2. **The Political Event View:** Other Christian apologists and theologians, particularly those from **Reformed** or **mainline Protestant** traditions, take a more restrained approach. They argue that while the establishment of Israel may have geopolitical significance, it does not necessarily correspond to the biblical Israel of prophecy. These theologians emphasize that the fulfillment of God's promises to Israel is realized in the Church, not in a modern nation-state.

One of the central figures in this camp is **N.T. Wright**, a New Testament scholar who argues that modern Israel should not be equated with biblical Israel in theological terms. Wright contends that the promises made to Israel in the Old Testament were fulfilled through Jesus and that the Church is now the true **spiritual Israel**. According to Wright, passages like **Ezekiel 37** and **Amos 9** should be understood as metaphorical, reflecting the ultimate restoration of God's people through Christ, not the re-establishment of a political nation.

This view holds that while the establishment of Israel in 1948 is historically significant, it should not be seen as the direct fulfillment of biblical prophecy. Instead, the role of Israel in prophecy is seen as a **spiritual metaphor** for the redemption of humanity through the Church.

Bible Verses Often Referenced in Discussions of Modern Israel

1. **Ezekiel 37:21-22** (The Return of Israel's Exiles): This passage is frequently cited as a prophecy of the restoration of Israel as a united nation. Those who interpret 1948 as a prophetic event believe this verse foretells the physical return of Jews to their homeland and the unification of Israel as a single entity.
2. **Amos 9:14-15** (The Restoration of Israel): These verses suggest that Israel will be permanently restored to their land, never to be uprooted again. For many, this is seen as a direct reference to the modern state of Israel, interpreting the return of Jews to the land as evidence that God's promises are being fulfilled in our time.

The Debate Over Modern Israel's Prophetic Significance

The debate over whether the establishment of Israel in 1948 fulfills biblical prophecy or is simply a political event highlights a broader theological discussion

about the nature of biblical prophecy and its relevance to modern political events. This debate often hinges on different interpretations of key eschatological passages and the role of the Church in fulfilling God's covenant promises.

- **Dispensationalist** theologians often assert that the return of Jews to Israel is a crucial part of God's prophetic plan and that the modern state of Israel plays a central role in end-times prophecy.
- **Covenant theologians** and **mainline Protestants** tend to argue that biblical Israel is a theological construct that has been fulfilled in the person of Christ and the formation of the Church. They emphasize the spiritual nature of Israel's role and see no direct connection between biblical prophecy and the establishment of a modern nation-state.

This theological divergence reflects deeper differences in how Christian traditions interpret prophecy, covenant, and eschatology. For those who believe that the founding of Israel in 1948 is of deep theological significance, it represents a tangible sign that the events of the end times are unfolding. For others, Israel's creation is a political reality, one that does not alter the spiritual trajectory of God's redemptive plan, which they believe was fulfilled in Christ and extends through the Church.

By examining the perspectives of key Christian apologists, this paper will explore the complex intersection of theology, prophecy, and politics, offering a nuanced understanding of how modern Christian thought navigates the relationship between the biblical Israel and the nation-state of Israel today.

William Lane Craig: Israel and the Church's Role

William Lane Craig, a prominent Christian philosopher and apologist, has significantly contributed to theological discussions about the relationship between Israel and the Church. While his focus is often on defending Christian theism, Craig has also addressed the complex role of Israel in both biblical history and contemporary theology. His perspective primarily aligns with the view that the **Church**—not the modern state of Israel—fulfills God's promises to Israel. For Craig, the establishment of Israel in 1948 is a political reality rather than a direct

fulfillment of biblical prophecy, reflecting his broader theological stance that the Church represents the true continuation of Israel in God's redemptive plan.

Craig's Focus on the Church as the Fulfillment of God's Promises to Israel

Central to Craig's theology is the idea that **the Church**—the community of believers in Christ—represents the fulfillment of the covenant promises made to Israel in the Old Testament. This view aligns with the New Testament concept of the Church as the spiritual continuation of Israel, fulfilling the promises God made to Abraham, Moses, and David.

Craig draws heavily on the Apostle Paul's teachings in **Romans 9-11**, where Paul addresses the question of Israel's role in God's plan after the coming of Christ. In these chapters, Paul emphasizes that while Israel was initially chosen by God as His covenant people, the coming of Christ and the establishment of the New Covenant extend God's promises to all people, not just to the descendants of Abraham. Paul explains that the inclusion of Gentiles into the community of God's people fulfills God's promises in a broader, more spiritual sense.

For Craig, this means that **ethnic or national Israel is no longer the sole focus of God's redemptive work**. Instead, the promises made to Israel are now being fulfilled through the global Church, which includes both Jews and Gentiles who believe in Christ. This concept is sometimes referred to as **supersessionism** or **replacement theology**, where the Church is seen as the true "spiritual Israel" that inherits the covenantal blessings originally promised to the nation of Israel. Craig supports this view by arguing that the New Covenant, established through Christ's sacrifice, supersedes the Old Covenant, making the Church the new locus of God's saving work.

Craig's view does not deny the significance of biblical Israel in salvation history. He acknowledges that Israel played a crucial role in bringing about God's plan of salvation, particularly through the lineage of Jesus, who was a descendant of King David and born within the Jewish tradition. However, he emphasizes that with the arrival of Christ and the birth of the Church, the role of national Israel has transitioned from a physical reality to a spiritual one.

Craig's Views on the Modern State of Israel as a Political Reality

While many Christians view the establishment of the modern state of Israel in 1948 as a fulfillment of biblical prophecy, Craig adopts a more cautious and restrained approach. He argues that while the creation of Israel is historically significant and a legitimate political reality, it should not be automatically interpreted as a divine or prophetic event. According to Craig, there is no clear biblical mandate that ties the modern state of Israel directly to the eschatological promises found in scripture.

Craig sees modern Israel primarily in geopolitical terms, as a nation with the right to defend itself and exist as a sovereign state, but not as the culmination of biblical prophecy concerning the return of Jews to their homeland. In his view, biblical passages that refer to Israel's restoration, such as **Ezekiel 37** and **Amos 9**, were fulfilled in ancient times or should be understood metaphorically, rather than as specific predictions of the 1948 founding of the state of Israel.

Craig's approach is consistent with his broader theological framework, which prioritizes the spiritual over the national. He believes that the **spiritual Israel**, embodied in the Church, has become the true inheritor of God's promises, and that any attempt to link modern political events directly to biblical prophecy risks misinterpreting scripture. For Craig, the Church—not the political state of Israel—plays the central role in God's ongoing redemptive work in the world.

This perspective positions Craig against certain **dispensationalist** interpretations, which hold that the modern state of Israel is a key element in the unfolding of biblical end-times prophecy. Dispensationalism emphasizes the literal return of Jews to the land of Israel and often sees the establishment of Israel as a precursor to the Second Coming of Christ. Craig, however, maintains that while Israel's establishment is a significant political event, it does not necessarily carry prophetic weight in terms of eschatology.

Use of Romans 9-11: Paul's Discussion of Israel's Place in God's Plan

Craig's understanding of Israel's role in God's plan is deeply influenced by the Apostle Paul's writings in **Romans 9-11**. In these chapters, Paul grapples with the question of why so many Jews rejected Christ as the Messiah, and what this means for Israel's place in salvation history. Paul's central argument is that God

has not abandoned Israel, but that the promises to Israel are now being fulfilled in a spiritual sense, through the inclusion of Gentiles in the covenant community.

In **Romans 9**, Paul begins by expressing his deep sorrow over the fact that many of his fellow Jews have rejected Christ. He acknowledges that Israel was given special privileges as God's chosen people, including the covenants, the law, and the promises. However, Paul goes on to explain that not all who are descended from Israel are true Israel; rather, it is those who have faith in Christ who are the true children of the promise. This reflects Paul's view that the Church, composed of both Jews and Gentiles, has become the new Israel in a spiritual sense.

In **Romans 11**, Paul addresses the question of whether God has rejected Israel. He answers emphatically that God has not rejected His people, but that a "remnant" of Jews continues to believe in Christ, while the rest have been temporarily "hardened" to make way for the inclusion of the Gentiles. Paul concludes with the hopeful statement that "all Israel will be saved" (Romans 11:26), which many theologians, including Craig, interpret as a reference to the eventual inclusion of a large number of Jews into the Church before the end of time.

For Craig, **Romans 9-11** provides a theological framework for understanding the relationship between Israel and the Church. He sees the Church as the fulfillment of God's promises to Israel, with the inclusion of Gentiles reflecting the expansion of God's covenant beyond ethnic and national boundaries. The concept of **spiritual Israel**, drawn from Paul's writings, is central to Craig's view that the promises of the Old Testament are fulfilled not through the modern state of Israel, but through the global body of believers in Christ.

Conclusion

In summary, William Lane Craig emphasizes the role of the Church as the fulfillment of God's promises to Israel, based on the teachings of the Apostle Paul in Romans 9-11. While acknowledging the historical and political significance of the modern state of Israel, Craig does not view its establishment as a fulfillment of biblical prophecy. Instead, he holds that the Church has become the true "spiritual Israel," inheriting the covenantal blessings originally promised to the Jewish people. This perspective positions Craig within a broader theological tradition that sees the promises of the Old Testament as being fulfilled in Christ and extended to all believers, regardless of ethnic or national identity.

Alvin Plantinga: Philosophical Approach to Israel's Significance

Alvin Plantinga, one of the most influential philosophers of religion in the 20th and 21st centuries, is renowned for his work on topics like the **existence of God**, the **problem of evil**, and **epistemology** within Christian thought. Although Plantinga doesn't focus specifically on the nation of Israel or the theological debates concerning the modern state of Israel, his broader theological and philosophical framework provides valuable insights into understanding Israel's role within Christian salvation history. By examining Plantinga's philosophical contributions, we can draw connections between his discussions of divine action, the problem of evil, and the relationship between Israel and the Church.

Plantinga's Lack of Specific Focus on Israel but Emphasis on Broader Theological Concepts

Unlike some Christian apologists and theologians who engage directly with the theological implications of Israel's founding in 1948 or its role in biblical prophecy, Alvin Plantinga has not written extensively on the political or eschatological significance of Israel. His work tends to focus on more abstract and philosophical issues, such as the nature of **God's existence**, the **logical problem of evil**, and the epistemic justification for religious belief (notably through his theory of **Reformed Epistemology**).

However, Plantinga's broader theological ideas provide a framework that can be applied to the discussion of Israel's significance, particularly as it relates to **God's chosen people** and the unfolding of Christian salvation history. His emphasis on the coherence of Christian belief and the way in which God's providence operates in the world offers a philosophical backdrop for understanding Israel's theological role within the broader Christian narrative.

Israel's Significance in Christian Salvation History and the Problem of Evil

Though Plantinga may not address Israel directly, his work on **the problem of evil** and **divine providence** can shed light on the role of Israel in Christian theology. The problem of evil—specifically, how a good and all-powerful God allows suffering and evil to exist—has been a central issue in Plantinga's work. His **Free Will Defense**, for example, argues that the existence of evil is a necessary

consequence of human free will, and that God allows evil in order to bring about a greater good, including the possibility of genuine moral choices.

In the context of Israel, one could interpret the nation's suffering and trials, including the periods of exile, conquest, and dispersion, as part of the larger **theodicy** that Plantinga articulates. The suffering of the Jewish people throughout history, especially as recorded in the Old Testament, can be understood within the framework of God's providential plan, in which suffering ultimately serves a redemptive purpose. Israel's role in God's plan, from this perspective, involves both blessing and suffering, as seen in their covenantal relationship with God.

For example, in **Deuteronomy 28**, God lays out blessings for obedience and curses for disobedience, emphasizing the covenantal nature of Israel's relationship with Him. Plantinga's philosophical approach to evil and suffering provides a lens through which to view the hardships Israel experienced, not as arbitrary or punitive, but as part of a greater divine purpose, potentially leading to the coming of the Messiah and the extension of salvation to all nations through Christ.

Furthermore, Plantinga's emphasis on **God's sovereignty and human free will** could be applied to the theological understanding of Israel's role in salvation history. God's choice of Israel as His covenant people reflects divine sovereignty, but Israel's moral failures and eventual rejection of Christ as the Messiah, according to Christian theology, represent human free will in action. This tension between divine sovereignty and human freedom is a recurring theme in Plantinga's work and can be applied to the narrative of Israel's role in both the Old and New Testaments.

Philosophical Insights into the Relationship Between God's Chosen People and the Church

Plantinga's philosophical insights into **divine election** and the **nature of the Church** provide a useful framework for understanding the theological transition from Israel as God's chosen people in the Old Covenant to the Church as the new covenantal community in Christian thought. In his discussions on the **problem of divine hiddenness** and **election**, Plantinga addresses the concept of God choosing to reveal Himself to particular people or groups, and this idea can be extended to Israel's unique role in biblical history.

For Plantinga, the idea of God choosing Israel as His people reflects God's sovereign will, a central tenet of **Reformed theology**. According to this view, Israel's election as God's chosen people was part of a divine plan, not based on their merit but on God's grace. In the Old Testament, Israel is depicted as a people set apart to reveal God's law, uphold His covenant, and prepare the way for the coming of the Messiah.

However, Plantinga's emphasis on the Church as the **universal body of believers** aligns with the broader Christian understanding that the promises made to Israel have been expanded and fulfilled in Christ. The Church, from this perspective, becomes the new community of God's people, transcending ethnic and national boundaries. Plantinga would likely view this transition as part of the larger divine plan for human redemption, where God's covenant promises to Israel are not nullified but are instead brought to fulfillment through the establishment of the Church.

Plantinga's philosophical framework also touches on the nature of **corporate identity** and **individual salvation** within the context of God's chosen people. While Israel's corporate identity as God's people remains significant in the Old Testament, the New Testament shifts the focus to individual salvation through faith in Christ, a theme that resonates with Plantinga's defense of the **epistemic warrant for Christian belief**. The Church, in Plantinga's thought, represents the fulfillment of God's plan for humanity, where individuals—whether Jew or Gentile—are brought into the covenant community through personal faith.

Conclusion

While Alvin Plantinga has not directly focused on the political or theological role of Israel, his broader philosophical contributions provide key insights into the relationship between God's chosen people and the Church. Plantinga's work on the **problem of evil**, **divine election**, and the nature of **salvation history** offers a framework for understanding Israel's suffering and its place in God's providential plan. Through his emphasis on **divine sovereignty** and **human free will**, Plantinga's ideas illuminate the theological transition from Israel's covenantal role to the Church as the spiritual fulfillment of God's promises.

In Christian theology, Israel's significance lies in its unique election as God's people, a concept that Plantinga's philosophy indirectly supports through his

discussions on divine action and providence. Ultimately, Plantinga's views align with the Christian belief that the Church now embodies the promises originally made to Israel, while still recognizing Israel's pivotal role in the unfolding of God's redemptive plan for humanity.

Ravi Zacharias: Israel's Right to Exist and Its Theological Importance

Ravi Zacharias, a well-known Christian apologist and evangelist, spent much of his life defending the Christian faith in the face of cultural, philosophical, and religious opposition. While his apologetic focus was often on defending Christian theism against atheism, secularism, and other worldviews, Zacharias also addressed the moral and theological implications of Israel's existence. He supported Israel's right to exist, not only from a moral and geopolitical perspective but also within the larger framework of biblical theology and God's redemptive plan for humanity. His approach to the topic combined both political realities and theological reflections, particularly emphasizing the sovereignty of God and His ongoing work in history.

Zacharias' Support for Israel's Existence from a Moral and Geopolitical Standpoint

From a moral and geopolitical perspective, Zacharias was a vocal supporter of Israel's right to exist as a sovereign state. He recognized the historical suffering and persecution of the Jewish people, especially in the aftermath of the Holocaust, and saw the establishment of Israel in 1948 as an important and just response to the centuries of oppression Jews had faced. Zacharias believed that Israel had a right to defend itself against hostility from neighboring states and terrorist organizations, often framing his support for Israel in terms of broader moral principles, such as the right of a people to self-determination and protection from existential threats.

Zacharias did not view his support for Israel as purely political; he also believed that Israel's re-establishment had theological significance, though he was cautious about interpreting the 1948 event as a direct fulfillment of prophecy. He acknowledged the historical importance of Israel in the biblical narrative and saw the Jewish people as having a unique role in God's redemptive plan. However, his

focus was more on Israel's present-day moral right to exist and flourish as a nation, rather than directly tying the modern state to biblical eschatology.

Zacharias emphasized that Israel's existence should be defended, not just for political or strategic reasons, but also because of the biblical mandate to seek justice and righteousness. He believed that Christians, especially those in the West, had a responsibility to support Israel's right to live in peace and security, seeing the nation's survival as a moral issue. Zacharias often highlighted Israel's unique place in history and its contribution to Western civilization, law, and culture, as well as the importance of understanding Israel's role within a broader spiritual and theological context.

Zacharias' Views on the Relationship Between Biblical Prophecy and Modern Israel

While Zacharias supported Israel from a moral and political perspective, he was more cautious about making direct connections between the establishment of modern Israel and the fulfillment of specific biblical prophecies. Unlike some Christian theologians who view the founding of Israel in 1948 as a clear fulfillment of Old Testament prophecies about the return of Jews to their homeland, Zacharias took a more nuanced approach. He believed that while the re-establishment of Israel could be seen as part of God's overarching plan for history, it should not be overemphasized as a specific eschatological event.

Zacharias did not align himself with dispensationalist views, which often interpret the re-gathering of Jews to Israel as a precursor to the Second Coming of Christ and the unfolding of end-times prophecy. Instead, he focused on the broader themes of **redemption** and **God's plan for humanity**, seeing Israel's existence as a significant part of the biblical story but not necessarily tied to a literal fulfillment of eschatological predictions. Zacharias often emphasized that **God's ultimate plan for humanity** transcends national boundaries and ethnic identities, with the redemption of the world being accomplished through Christ rather than through any particular nation-state.

At the same time, Zacharias recognized the profound spiritual and historical importance of Israel in the biblical narrative. He saw the Jewish people as chosen by God to bring forth the Messiah and fulfill the Old Testament covenants. The history of Israel, with its triumphs and failures, is deeply intertwined with God's

plan for the salvation of the world. In this sense, Zacharias viewed modern Israel's existence as a continuation of the unfolding story of God's relationship with humanity, even if he did not equate the political state of Israel with the fulfillment of specific end-times prophecies.

Use of Jeremiah 29:11: God's Plans for Israel and Its Future

One of the key Bible verses Zacharias frequently referenced in his discussions of God's plan for Israel and the world was **Jeremiah 29:11**:

"For I know the plans I have for you," declares the Lord, "plans to prosper you and not to harm you, plans to give you hope and a future."

This verse, written in the context of the Babylonian exile, speaks of God's enduring promise to the people of Israel. Despite their disobedience and the consequences of exile, God assures Israel that He has a future for them, one marked by restoration, hope, and prosperity. Zacharias often used this verse to emphasize the character of God as a **loving and redemptive Father**, whose plans for His people are ultimately for their good, even when they go through times of suffering and hardship.

Zacharias applied the message of **Jeremiah 29:11** to both ancient Israel and the modern world. For him, the verse spoke to the enduring nature of God's promises and the fact that God is always at work, even when His people face adversity. He used this verse to remind Christians that while the world may seem chaotic and nations rise and fall, God's sovereign plan is still unfolding. This includes His plans for Israel, both in the biblical narrative and in contemporary history.

While Zacharias did not interpret **Jeremiah 29:11** as a direct prophecy about the modern state of Israel, he did see it as an affirmation of God's faithfulness to His people. He believed that just as God had plans for Israel during the exile, He also had plans for the Jewish people and the nation of Israel in the modern world. However, these plans were part of a larger divine purpose that centered on **redemption through Christ**, rather than the geopolitical events of the 20th century.

In this sense, Zacharias viewed Israel's modern existence as a **testament to God's ongoing faithfulness**, but he cautioned against over-interpreting specific political events as direct fulfillments of prophecy. Instead, he encouraged Christians to

focus on the larger picture of God's redemptive work through Christ, which includes Israel but also encompasses the entire world.

Conclusion

Ravi Zacharias offered a balanced and thoughtful approach to the theological and moral significance of modern Israel. He supported Israel's right to exist from a moral and geopolitical standpoint, emphasizing the importance of justice, security, and self-determination for the Jewish people. At the same time, he approached the relationship between biblical prophecy and modern Israel with caution, preferring to focus on the broader themes of redemption and God's sovereign plan for humanity.

For Zacharias, Israel's role in the biblical narrative was of profound importance, and he believed that God had a plan for the Jewish people. However, he did not see the modern state of Israel as a direct fulfillment of specific end-times prophecies. Instead, he viewed Israel's existence as part of the unfolding story of God's relationship with humanity, pointing ultimately to the redemptive work of Christ. By invoking **Jeremiah 29:11**, Zacharias emphasized the faithfulness of God, not only to Israel but to all people, reminding Christians that God's plans are always for good, hope, and a future—both for individuals and for nations.

John Lennox: Science, Theology, and the Role of Israel

John Lennox, an Oxford professor of mathematics and a well-known Christian apologist, has made significant contributions to the intersection of science, theology, and philosophy. As a Christian apologist, Lennox has engaged deeply with issues surrounding the coherence of Christian belief, the relationship between faith and reason, and the role of biblical narratives in understanding history and the modern world. When it comes to the question of Israel, Lennox maintains a position that recognizes the nation's historical and theological importance without overemphasizing its role in end-times prophecy. His views reflect a nuanced understanding of Israel as part of God's plan for the world, grounded in biblical promises, but he distances himself from overly literal interpretations of modern events as direct fulfillments of prophecy.

Lennox's Approach to Israel as Part of God's Plan but Not Necessarily Linked to End-Times Prophecy

John Lennox takes a balanced approach when discussing Israel, recognizing its central role in the biblical narrative and in God's redemptive plan without tying its modern existence too closely to eschatological (end-times) prophecies. Lennox is not part of the **dispensationalist** tradition, which often sees the founding of the modern state of Israel in 1948 as a necessary precursor to Christ's Second Coming and the culmination of biblical prophecy. Instead, Lennox emphasizes the significance of Israel in **salvation history**, where God chose Israel to be the nation through which He would reveal Himself to the world, culminating in the coming of the Messiah, Jesus Christ.

Lennox acknowledges that Israel has a unique place in God's historical plan, particularly as outlined in the Old Testament. However, he refrains from linking Israel's modern political and territorial existence to a specific prophetic timeline. For Lennox, while the establishment of the state of Israel may have theological significance, it should not be interpreted as the final or definitive fulfillment of all Old Testament prophecies concerning Israel's restoration. He suggests that focusing too much on modern political events as fulfillments of prophecy can lead to speculative interpretations that distract from the broader mission of the Church, which is to proclaim the gospel to all nations.

Lennox also believes that the promises made to Israel in the Old Testament have been extended and fulfilled in Jesus Christ. The coming of Christ initiated a **new covenant**, which expanded God's promises to include not just the Jewish people but all who would place their faith in Christ. In this sense, Lennox aligns with a **covenantal theology** that sees the Church as the continuation of Israel's mission, where the physical nation of Israel played a vital role in bringing about the salvation of the world, but the focus now shifts to the spiritual Israel—the Church.

While Lennox respects the theological importance of Israel and its enduring significance, he encourages Christians to avoid making overly rigid connections between modern Israel and the eschatological events of the Bible. Instead, he advocates for a **more spiritual interpretation** of prophecy, where the ultimate fulfillment of God's promises is found in the person of Jesus and the global Church rather than in national or political developments.

Lennox's Moral Support for Israel Based on Historical Context Rather Than Eschatological Interpretation

Lennox's support for Israel is rooted more in **moral and historical considerations** than in eschatological speculation. As a scholar with deep respect for history, Lennox is aware of the immense suffering the Jewish people have endured throughout centuries of persecution, culminating in the Holocaust. He views the establishment of the modern state of Israel as a just and necessary response to this history, providing a safe haven for Jews after millennia of exile and oppression. His support for Israel is based on the principle of **justice**—recognizing the Jewish people's right to a homeland and their right to defend themselves against threats.

This moral support is grounded in Lennox's commitment to biblical principles of righteousness and justice, as well as his understanding of the unique role that the Jewish people have played in world history. However, Lennox does not frame his support for Israel in terms of **prophetic necessity**. He recognizes that Israel's existence is significant from both a historical and a theological perspective, but he is cautious about over-interpreting its current geopolitical situation through a purely eschatological lens.

Lennox also acknowledges the complexity of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and the broader Middle Eastern tensions surrounding Israel's existence. He encourages Christians to approach these issues with **compassion and understanding**, supporting Israel's right to exist and defend itself while also recognizing the need for peace and justice for all people in the region. For Lennox, moral and historical considerations should guide Christian support for Israel, rather than speculative prophetic interpretations.

Use of Genesis 17:7: God's Everlasting Covenant with Israel

In his theological reflections, Lennox frequently references **Genesis 17:7**, which describes God's covenant with Abraham:

"I will establish my covenant as an everlasting covenant between me and you and your descendants after you for the generations to come, to be your God and the God of your descendants after you."

This verse is foundational to understanding Israel's unique role in biblical history. God's covenant with Abraham marks the beginning of Israel's identity as God's chosen people, a nation set apart to reveal God's character and to be the people through whom the Messiah would come. For Lennox, this covenant is of immense theological importance because it demonstrates God's faithfulness and His plan to work through Israel to bring about redemption for the entire world.

However, Lennox interprets this **everlasting covenant** in light of the broader narrative of scripture, particularly the coming of Christ and the establishment of the **New Covenant**. He sees the covenant with Abraham as fulfilled in Jesus, who is the promised seed of Abraham through whom all nations would be blessed (**Galatians 3:16**). In this sense, the everlasting covenant with Israel is not nullified but rather **fulfilled and expanded** in Christ, with the promises to Israel extending to the entire world through faith in Jesus.

Lennox does not deny the ongoing significance of Israel in God's plan, but he views the ultimate fulfillment of God's promises to Israel as being spiritual in nature, culminating in the global Church, which includes both Jews and Gentiles. In this interpretation, the **physical nation of Israel** played a crucial role in salvation history, but the **spiritual Israel**—composed of all believers in Christ—now carries forward the mission of God's people.

Conclusion

John Lennox's approach to Israel is thoughtful and balanced, recognizing the nation's importance in biblical history and its place in God's redemptive plan without overemphasizing its modern political significance in eschatology. For Lennox, Israel is part of the broader narrative of God's faithfulness and promises, exemplified in the **everlasting covenant** made with Abraham in **Genesis 17:7**. However, Lennox sees the fulfillment of that covenant in Jesus Christ and the Church, viewing modern Israel as historically significant but not necessarily tied to specific end-times prophecy.

Lennox's support for Israel is rooted in moral and historical considerations, particularly in light of the suffering of the Jewish people and the justice of their claim to a homeland. He encourages Christians to approach Israel with compassion and understanding, supporting its right to exist and defend itself while remaining cautious about making rigid eschatological predictions based on

current events. Through his perspective, Lennox emphasizes the **spiritual fulfillment** of God's promises to Israel in Christ, with the Church serving as the continuation of God's people in the present age.

N.T. Wright: Critical View of Modern Israel and Prophetic Fulfillment

N.T. Wright, a renowned New Testament scholar and theologian, is known for his in-depth understanding of the biblical text and his emphasis on the overarching narrative of God's redemptive work through history. Wright's perspective on Israel—both biblical and modern—reflects his commitment to interpreting scripture within its historical and theological context. While acknowledging the significance of Israel in the biblical narrative, Wright emphasizes that **the Church**, as the body of Christ, is the true fulfillment of Israel's destiny. He takes a critical stance on the interpretation of modern Israel's founding in 1948 as the fulfillment of biblical prophecy, arguing instead that the **New Testament** points to a spiritual fulfillment of God's promises to Israel, realized through the reconciliation of Jews and Gentiles in Christ.

Wright's Emphasis on the Church as the True Fulfillment of Israel's Destiny

N.T. Wright views Israel's role in salvation history as foundational to understanding the story of God's redemptive plan, but he stresses that the **New Testament redefines Israel's destiny** through the coming of Jesus Christ. According to Wright, Jesus fulfills the promises made to Israel, not by restoring a political kingdom in the land of Palestine, but by inaugurating the **kingdom of God**, which transcends national and ethnic boundaries. For Wright, the **Church**—composed of Jews and Gentiles who follow Christ—becomes the **new Israel**, the community through which God's promises are realized.

Wright's interpretation stems from a **covenantal theology**, where the Church is seen as the fulfillment of the Old Testament covenants made with Israel. He argues that Jesus, as the Messiah, is the ultimate fulfillment of the promises made to Abraham, Moses, and David. Through Jesus' death and resurrection, a new covenant is established, and the Church is formed as the body of believers united by faith in Christ. Wright emphasizes that the Church, rather than the modern

political state of Israel, is now the instrument through which God's redemptive work continues in the world.

Wright's interpretation challenges the idea that the modern state of Israel holds a special place in God's ongoing plan based on biblical prophecy. For him, the promises made to Israel are now realized through the **global Church**, which includes all people—Jew and Gentile alike—who believe in Jesus. This spiritual community is the true fulfillment of Israel's calling to be a light to the nations and a people through whom God would bless the world.

Wright's Critical Stance on Interpreting the 1948 Founding of Israel as a Prophetic Event

While many Christian traditions, particularly **dispensationalism**, see the founding of Israel in 1948 as a significant fulfillment of biblical prophecy, Wright takes a more critical approach. He argues that reading the events of 1948 through a prophetic lens is a **misinterpretation** of scripture. For Wright, the idea that the re-establishment of Israel as a nation-state is a fulfillment of prophecy misses the key message of the New Testament: that the kingdom of God is not tied to a specific piece of land or a political entity, but is a **spiritual reality** established through Jesus.

Wright contends that **biblical prophecy**, particularly in the New Testament, points to the **restoration of Israel** in a spiritual sense—through the person and work of Christ—rather than the physical re-establishment of a nation-state. He is critical of theological systems that prioritize the modern state of Israel as central to God's end-time plan, arguing that such interpretations often overlook the inclusive nature of the gospel, which unites Jews and Gentiles in one body. For Wright, the **focus of prophecy** is on the **universal reconciliation** of all people through Christ, not on the geopolitical events surrounding Israel's modern existence.

In his critique of modern interpretations of prophecy, Wright often points out that the New Testament authors, particularly Paul, shift the emphasis from the physical land of Israel to the **global spread of the gospel**. The founding of Israel in 1948, while historically significant, should not be seen as a direct fulfillment of Old Testament prophecies about Israel's restoration. Wright believes that these prophecies are fulfilled in **Jesus' resurrection** and the subsequent mission of the Church to bring the message of salvation to the whole world.

Use of Ephesians 2:11-16: The Reconciliation of Jews and Gentiles through Christ

A central passage in Wright's theological framework regarding Israel and the Church is **Ephesians 2:11-16**, which speaks about the **reconciliation of Jews and Gentiles** through Christ:

"Therefore, remember that formerly you who are Gentiles by birth and called 'uncircumcised' by those who call themselves 'the circumcision' (which is done in the body by human hands)—remember that at that time you were separate from Christ, excluded from citizenship in Israel and foreigners to the covenants of the promise, without hope and without God in the world. But now in Christ Jesus you who once were far away have been brought near by the blood of Christ. For he himself is our peace, who has made the two groups one and has destroyed the barrier, the dividing wall of hostility, by setting aside in his flesh the law with its commands and regulations. His purpose was to create in himself one new humanity out of the two, thus making peace, and in one body to reconcile both of them to God through the cross, by which he put to death their hostility."
(Ephesians 2:11-16, NIV)

Wright sees this passage as a **foundational text** for understanding the **new identity of God's people**. In this passage, Paul explains that Christ's death and resurrection have broken down the barrier between Jews and Gentiles, creating one new humanity in which both groups are reconciled to God and to one another. This theological vision of **unity in Christ** is key to Wright's argument that the Church—composed of both Jews and Gentiles—is now the **true Israel**, the people of God who carry forward His purposes in the world.

For Wright, this reconciliation of Jews and Gentiles is the ultimate fulfillment of God's promise to bless all nations through Abraham's descendants. The Church, as the unified body of believers, is the **spiritual fulfillment** of the promises made to Israel in the Old Testament. This understanding underscores Wright's critique of attempts to assign a unique eschatological significance to the modern state of Israel. Instead, he points to the **spiritual reality** of the Church as the new covenant people of God, in whom the promises of God to Israel are brought to completion.

Wright also highlights the significance of the **new humanity** that Paul describes in Ephesians 2. This new humanity transcends ethnic, national, and cultural

distinctions, uniting all people in Christ. For Wright, this vision of unity is central to the New Testament's understanding of the kingdom of God. The focus is not on the restoration of a particular nation or territory but on the **inclusion of all people** in God's plan for salvation. In this light, Wright argues that the true fulfillment of God's promises to Israel is found in the **global community** of believers, rather than in a political or territorial entity.

Conclusion

N.T. Wright's critical view of the modern state of Israel and its role in prophecy is deeply rooted in his understanding of the New Testament's teaching on the **Church as the true fulfillment of Israel's destiny**. While Wright acknowledges the historical and theological significance of Israel, he emphasizes that the promises made to Israel in the Old Testament are fulfilled in **Jesus Christ** and the formation of the **global Church**, which includes both Jews and Gentiles. For Wright, the Church is the **new Israel**, the community of believers through whom God's purposes for the world are realized.

Wright's critique of interpreting the 1948 founding of Israel as a prophetic event reflects his broader theological framework, which prioritizes the spiritual fulfillment of God's promises over geopolitical developments. In his view, biblical prophecy points to the **reconciliation of humanity** through Christ, as described in **Ephesians 2:11-16**, rather than to the restoration of a particular nation-state. Wright's emphasis on the **unity of Jews and Gentiles** in Christ highlights the inclusive nature of the gospel and the **universal mission** of the Church, which he sees as the true fulfillment of Israel's calling to be a light to the nations.

Theological Implications: Israel and the Church

The relationship between **Israel and the Church** has long been a central theme in Christian theology, particularly when it comes to understanding how the promises made to Israel in the Old Testament are fulfilled in the New Testament through the Church. This theological discussion hinges on how the **Old Covenant**—established with Israel—is reinterpreted and expanded in light of Christ's **New Covenant**. For centuries, theologians and Christian apologists have grappled with

questions about whether the Church **replaces** Israel, **continues** Israel's mission, or somehow **coexists** with Israel in God's redemptive plan.

This section will explore the **theological implications** of how the Church fulfills the promises made to Israel, the shift from the Old Testament focus on Israel to the New Testament emphasis on the Church, and how contemporary apologists such as William Lane Craig, Alvin Plantinga, Ravi Zacharias, John Lennox, and N.T. Wright view Israel's biblical role in the modern era.

The Church's Role in Fulfilling the Promises Made to Biblical Israel

One of the key theological concepts in understanding the relationship between Israel and the Church is the idea that the Church is the **fulfillment of the promises made to Israel** in the Old Testament. These promises include the **Abrahamic Covenant**, which declared that God would make Israel a great nation through whom all the nations of the earth would be blessed (**Genesis 12:1-3**), the **Davidic Covenant**, which promised an everlasting kingdom through the line of David (**2 Samuel 7:12-16**), and the **prophecies** of Israel's restoration after exile.

In **New Testament theology**, these promises are not negated but are instead **fulfilled in Christ** and extended through the Church. Jesus is seen as the true **seed of Abraham** and the promised **Messiah from the line of David**, whose life, death, and resurrection establish the kingdom of God, not as a political entity but as a spiritual reality encompassing all people.

The **Apostle Paul**'s writings, especially in **Romans 9-11** and **Galatians 3**, are central to this interpretation. Paul argues that the promises made to Israel are realized through faith in Christ, and that the Church now represents the **new people of God**, including both Jews and Gentiles who are united by faith. This idea is often referred to as **supersessionism** or **replacement theology**, though many theologians prefer the term **fulfillment theology** to emphasize the continuity between Israel and the Church rather than the idea of replacement.

For Christian apologists like **William Lane Craig**, this understanding of the Church as the fulfillment of Israel's promises is central to interpreting both biblical history and contemporary events. Craig emphasizes that the Church is the **spiritual Israel**, and that the promises of God to Israel have been expanded to include all who believe in Christ, regardless of ethnicity or national identity. This interpretation is

also shared by **N.T. Wright**, who sees the Church as the **culmination of Israel's destiny**, with Jesus as the true fulfillment of the Old Testament covenants.

The Shift from Old Testament Israel to New Testament Theology Regarding Salvation

The shift from the Old Testament focus on Israel as God's chosen people to the New Testament emphasis on the **universal nature of salvation** is a defining aspect of Christian theology. In the Old Testament, Israel's identity as the chosen people was marked by their covenant relationship with God, their adherence to the **Mosaic Law**, and their role as the nation through whom God would reveal His plan for humanity. The nation of Israel was seen as **set apart** from the Gentile nations, with a unique mission to be a light to the world and the people through whom the Messiah would come.

However, with the coming of Christ, the New Testament redefines the idea of **God's people** to include all who have faith in Jesus, regardless of their ethnic or national background. This is articulated in passages like **Galatians 3:28**, which declares that "there is neither Jew nor Gentile, neither slave nor free, nor is there male and female, for you are all one in Christ Jesus." This verse reflects the radical inclusivity of the gospel message, where salvation is available to all people through faith in Christ.

This shift is also reflected in the concept of the **New Covenant**, which is described in passages like **Jeremiah 31:31-34** and **Hebrews 8**. The New Covenant, established through Jesus' sacrificial death, replaces the Old Covenant's emphasis on law and ritual with a focus on **grace and faith**. The Church, therefore, becomes the new community of God's people, where the promises made to Israel are extended to all who believe in Christ.

This theological shift has profound implications for how Christians understand the role of Israel in both the past and the present. For apologists like **Ravi Zacharias** and **John Lennox**, while they affirm the importance of Israel in the biblical narrative, they emphasize that the **mission of the Church** is to carry forward the promises made to Israel in a spiritual and universal sense. Lennox, for instance, supports Israel's right to exist from a moral and historical standpoint but does not view the establishment of the modern state of Israel as a fulfillment of prophecy.

Instead, he sees the promises of God to Israel as being **spiritually fulfilled** through the global Church.

Apologists' Views on How Israel's Biblical Role Should Be Understood in the Modern Era

The question of how Israel's biblical role should be understood in the modern era remains a subject of theological debate. Some Christian traditions, particularly **dispensationalism**, hold that the re-establishment of Israel in 1948 is a direct fulfillment of biblical prophecy and that the nation of Israel continues to play a central role in God's eschatological plan. Others, like the apologists discussed in this paper, take a more nuanced view, emphasizing the **spiritual fulfillment** of Israel's promises in the Church rather than tying modern political events to biblical prophecy.

William Lane Craig supports the idea that the Church is the true fulfillment of Israel's role in God's plan, with modern Israel representing a significant political reality but not necessarily a prophetic one. He views Israel's biblical significance as having been **fulfilled in Christ** and extended to the Church, where Jews and Gentiles are now united in faith.

Similarly, **N.T. Wright** takes a critical stance on interpreting the founding of modern Israel as a prophetic event. He argues that the true fulfillment of God's promises to Israel is found in the **reconciliation of Jews and Gentiles through Christ** (as seen in passages like **Ephesians 2:11-16**), rather than in the re-establishment of a political nation-state. Wright emphasizes that the **kingdom of God** is a spiritual reality that transcends national boundaries, and that the Church is the community through which God's redemptive work is now carried out.

Alvin Plantinga, while not focusing extensively on Israel, offers a broader philosophical perspective on **divine providence** and the unfolding of salvation history, suggesting that God's plan includes both the historical significance of Israel and its ultimate fulfillment in the universal Church.

For apologists like **Ravi Zacharias**, the modern state of Israel is recognized as having moral and geopolitical importance, particularly in light of the historical suffering of the Jewish people. However, like Lennox and Wright, Zacharias views Israel's biblical role as being fulfilled in Christ and the Church, rather than in the

modern nation-state. He focuses on the broader themes of **redemption and God's plan for humanity**, rather than eschatological interpretations tied to specific political events.

Conclusion

The theological implications of the relationship between Israel and the Church are deeply intertwined with the understanding of how the **promises made to Israel** are fulfilled in the New Testament. For apologists like William Lane Craig, N.T. Wright, John Lennox, and Ravi Zacharias, the Church is seen as the **spiritual continuation of Israel**, where the promises of God are expanded and fulfilled through Christ. The shift from the Old Covenant, focused on the nation of Israel, to the New Covenant, centered on the universal Church, marks a fundamental redefinition of what it means to be the people of God.

While Israel continues to hold historical and theological significance, these apologists emphasize that the ultimate fulfillment of Israel's role in salvation history is found in the **global body of believers** who are united by faith in Christ. For them, the modern state of Israel is an important political reality but not the **eschatological fulfillment** of biblical prophecy. Instead, they point to the **Church** as the true inheritor of the promises made to Israel, carrying forward the mission of bringing God's message of salvation to the entire world.

Conclusion

The relationship between Israel and the Church, and the theological significance of modern Israel, has been a topic of considerable debate among Christian apologists, theologians, and scholars. As we have explored through the perspectives of figures like **William Lane Craig**, **Alvin Plantinga**, **Ravi Zacharias**, **John Lennox**, and **N.T. Wright**, there is a diversity of views regarding how the **biblical role of Israel** should be understood in both historical and modern contexts. Some see the Church as the true fulfillment of God's promises to Israel, while others view modern Israel as having a special place in history and possibly in prophecy, but with caution against speculative eschatology.

Summary of the Various Perspectives

Each of the apologists discussed in this paper approaches the question of Israel and the Church from a unique theological perspective:

- **William Lane Craig** views the **Church** as the **spiritual fulfillment** of the promises made to Israel. For Craig, the modern state of Israel is important as a geopolitical reality but not necessarily as a prophetic fulfillment. He emphasizes the New Testament's message that God's promises to Israel have been extended to all believers through Christ.
- **Alvin Plantinga**, while not focusing directly on Israel, offers a philosophical framework where **God's providence** plays out through both Israel's historical role and the Church's fulfillment of those promises. His emphasis on divine action supports the idea that Israel's suffering and significance are part of a larger plan that culminates in Christ and the Church.
- **Ravi Zacharias** supported Israel's right to exist based on **moral and historical grounds**, particularly in light of Jewish suffering throughout history. However, he was cautious about linking modern Israel's existence with specific biblical prophecies, instead focusing on God's **redemptive plan** for humanity, which is realized through the Church.
- **John Lennox** also supported Israel's right to exist from a moral standpoint, particularly recognizing the Jewish people's historical struggles. Like Zacharias, Lennox emphasizes that the Church is the **spiritual Israel**, and while modern Israel's existence is significant, it does not carry eschatological weight in terms of prophecy fulfillment.
- **N.T. Wright** takes the most critical stance on interpreting the founding of modern Israel in 1948 as a fulfillment of prophecy. He insists that the promises made to Israel have been fully realized in **Jesus Christ** and the formation of the **global Church**. Wright views the reconciliation of Jews and Gentiles through Christ, rather than the re-establishment of a political state, as the true fulfillment of biblical prophecy.

Reflection on the Ongoing Theological Debate About Modern Israel's Place in Biblical Prophecy

The debate over whether the modern state of Israel has a unique place in biblical prophecy remains unresolved within Christian thought. On one side, **dispensationalists** and certain evangelical groups see the re-establishment of Israel in 1948 as a crucial event in **eschatological prophecy**, marking the beginning of the fulfillment of end-times events described in books like Ezekiel, Daniel, and Revelation. These interpretations often predict future events surrounding Israel, including wars, the rebuilding of the temple, and the return of Christ.

On the other side of the debate, apologists like Craig, Lennox, and Wright argue that **biblical prophecy** concerning Israel was fulfilled spiritually in the coming of Christ and the establishment of the Church. In this view, the **kingdom of God** is not tied to a specific land or nation but is a **spiritual reality** manifested through the body of believers. For these thinkers, the promises of God to Israel have been **extended to all people** through Christ, and the focus of prophecy is now on the **universal Church**, rather than the modern state of Israel.

This ongoing debate reflects broader questions about how Christians should interpret Old Testament prophecies in light of the New Testament and how to understand the relationship between **national Israel** and the **global Church**. The theological discussion is not just about eschatology but also about the nature of God's covenant, the fulfillment of prophecy, and the inclusivity of salvation.

Final Thoughts on the Role of Israel in Christian Eschatology and the Global Political Landscape

The role of Israel in **Christian eschatology** continues to be a topic of fascination and debate. For some, Israel remains central to God's plan for the **end times**, and its re-establishment as a nation is seen as a precursor to the events described in the apocalyptic literature of the Bible. This view often includes expectations of **future conflicts**, the rebuilding of the temple, and the **return of Christ** to Israel. However, this interpretation can sometimes lead to speculative predictions and political entanglements that risk overshadowing the gospel's broader message of peace, reconciliation, and salvation for all.

For others, the fulfillment of God's promises to Israel has already been realized in **Jesus Christ**, and the focus now shifts to the **global Church** as the people of God. In this view, the Church is the true Israel, and the **kingdom of God** is a spiritual reality that transcends national boundaries. The role of modern Israel, while important historically and geopolitically, is not tied to any specific eschatological timetable.

From a geopolitical perspective, Israel continues to play a significant role in global politics, especially in the Middle East, where its existence is both a source of **pride for Jews** and a point of **conflict** with neighboring nations. Christian apologists like Lennox and Zacharias have expressed support for Israel's right to exist and defend itself, while also advocating for **peace and justice** in the region. At the same time, caution is advised in linking current political events too directly with biblical prophecy, as doing so can sometimes lead to misunderstandings and theological misinterpretations.

Ultimately, the role of Israel in Christian theology and eschatology is complex and multifaceted. While there is agreement on the historical significance of Israel and its central place in the biblical narrative, there remains a **diversity of opinions** about how modern Israel fits into God's ongoing plan for the world. For many apologists, the focus is not on specific political events but on the **spiritual fulfillment** of God's promises through Christ and the Church, which unites both Jews and Gentiles into one body.

In conclusion, Israel's place in Christian thought remains a topic of profound theological importance, and the discussions surrounding its role in prophecy, history, and salvation will continue to shape the **future of Christian apologetics** and theology. While the founding of Israel in 1948 is recognized as a significant historical event, the deeper theological question concerns the ultimate fulfillment of God's promises, which, for many, are realized not in the political state of Israel but in the **universal message of salvation through Christ**.

References

1. **Craig, William Lane.** *Reasonable Faith: Christian Truth and Apologetics.* Crossway Books, 2008.
    - Craig's key text on Christian apologetics, covering topics such as the existence of God, the resurrection of Jesus, and the role of Israel in Christian theology.
2. **Plantinga, Alvin.** *God, Freedom, and Evil.* Eerdmans, 1977.
    - Plantinga's work on the problem of evil and its relationship to God's providence, which provides insights into understanding Israel's role in salvation history.
3. **Zacharias, Ravi.** *Jesus Among Other Gods: The Absolute Claims of the Christian Message.* W Publishing Group, 2000.
    - In this book, Zacharias discusses the uniqueness of Christ and touches on Israel's place in God's plan, emphasizing redemption through Christ.
4. **Lennox, John.** *Against the Flow: The Inspiration of Daniel in an Age of Relativism.* Monarch Books, 2015.
    - Lennox explores the book of Daniel and the relationship between faith and politics, offering perspectives on Israel's place in history and theology.
5. **Wright, N.T.** *Paul and the Faithfulness of God.* Fortress Press, 2013.
    - Wright's major work on Pauline theology, discussing the fulfillment of Israel's promises in Christ and the role of the Church as the continuation of Israel.
6. **Wright, N.T.** *The New Testament and the People of God.* Fortress Press, 1992.
    - Wright outlines his views on the significance of Israel in biblical history and the role of the Church in fulfilling Israel's destiny.

7. **The Holy Bible, New International Version (NIV).** Biblica, 2011.
    - Key biblical passages referenced include Genesis 12:1-3, Genesis 17:7, Exodus 19:5-6, Jeremiah 29:11, Ezekiel 37:21-22, Amos 9:14-15, Romans 9-11, Galatians 3, Ephesians 2:11-16.
8. **Scofield, C.I.** *Scofield Reference Bible.* Oxford University Press, 1917.
    - An early dispensationalist interpretation, often cited in discussions of biblical prophecy and modern Israel.
9. **Jeremias, Joachim.** *Jerusalem in the Time of Jesus.* Fortress Press, 1969.
    - A historical analysis of Jerusalem during the time of Christ, providing context for discussions of Israel in biblical and modern times.
10. **Ryrie, Charles C.** *The Basis of the Premillennial Faith.* Loizeaux Brothers, 1953.
    - A key work in dispensational theology, discussing the prophetic role of Israel and its implications for eschatology.
11. **Middleton, J. Richard.** *A New Heaven and a New Earth: Reclaiming Biblical Eschatology.* Baker Academic, 2014.
    - Discusses eschatology and the relationship between Israel and the Church from a non-dispensational perspective, emphasizing the New Creation.

These references provide a foundation for understanding the diverse views on Israel's role in biblical prophecy, theology, and Christian apologetics.